

REMARKS

Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration. Claims 1-15, 17-28 and 30-33 were previously pending in this application. In response to the restriction requirement mailed September 17, 2007, Applicant previously (on October 17, 2007) elected Group I – Claims 1-12, 14, 15 and 22, drawn to polypeptides and compositions comprising single domain antibodies. Applicant has canceled the non-elected claims, has amended claims 1, 2 and 22, and has added claims 34-55, all of which fall within the elected invention. As a result, following entry of this amendment, claims 1,2, 22 and 34-55 are pending for examination.

Support for the amendments to the claims and the new claims is found in the specification as follows:

Amended claim 1 is supported by original claim 1.

Amended claim 2 is supported by the description at page 11, lines 21-22 and at page 18, lines 32-33.

Amended claim 22 is supported by the description at page 6, lines 23-25.

New claim 34 is supported by original claim 1.

New claim 35 is supported by the description at page 11, lines 21-22 and at page 18, lines 32-33.

New claim 36 is supported by original claims 10 and 11 as well as by the description at page 8, lines 32-33; page 26, lines 18-20; page 27, lines 14-16; and page 28, lines 18-20.

New claim 37 is supported by original claims 10 and 11 as well as by the description at page 8, lines 32-33; page 26, lines 18-20; page 27, lines 14-16; page 28, lines 18-20; page 44 line 27f; page 76, lines 21-22; and pages 84-85, Table 10.

New claims 38-41 are supported by original claims 10 and 11 as well as by the description at page 8, lines 32-33; page 26, lines 18-20; page 27, lines 14-16; page 28, lines 18-20; page 44 line 27f; page 76, lines 21-22; and pages 84-85, Tables 10 and 11.

New claim 42 is supported by original claims 10 and 11 as well as by the description at page 8, lines 32-33; page 26, lines 18-20; page 27, lines 14-16; page 28, lines 18-20; pages 44 line 27f; page 76, lines 21-22; pages 84-85, Table 10; page 11, lines 21ff; and at page 18, lines 32ff.

New claim 43 is supported by the description at page 9, line 13 to page 10, line 25.

New claim 44 is supported by the description at page 10, lines 17-20.

New claim 45 is supported by the description at page 11, lines 1-3.

New claim 46 is supported by the description at page 15, lines 21-25.

New claim 47 is supported by original claim 6 and by the description at page 4, lines 16-17; page 22, lines 18-19; pages 90-97, Table 30; and SEQ ID NOs: 2 and 38-41.

New claim 48 is supported by the description at page 22, lines 3-6 and 18-19; pages 90-97, Table 30; and SEQ ID NOs: 2 and 38-41.

New claim 49 is supported by the description at page 21, lines 26-28.

New claim 50 is supported by the description at page 22, lines 14-18.

New claim 51 is supported by the description at page 11, lines 26-28 and page 19, lines 7-10.

New claim 52 is supported by the description at page 11, lines 15-16.

New claim 53 is supported by the description at page 15, lines 16-17.

New claim 54 is supported by original claims 10 and 11 as well as by the description at page 8, lines 32-33; page 26, lines 18-20; page 27, lines 14-16; page 28, lines 18-20; page 44 line 27f; page 76, lines 21-22; and pages 84-85, Tables 10 and 11.


New claim 55 is supported by the description at page 46, lines 15-19.

If this response is not considered timely filed and if a request for an extension of time is otherwise absent, Applicant hereby requests any necessary extension of time. If there is a fee occasioned by this response, including an extension fee, that is not covered by an enclosed check, please charge any deficiency to Deposit Account No. 23/2825.

Dated: October 31, 2007

Respectfully submitted,

By


John R. Van Amsterdam

Registration No.: 40,212

WOLF, GREENFIELD & SACKS, P.C.

Federal Reserve Plaza

600 Atlantic Avenue

Boston, Massachusetts 02210-2206

(617) 646-8000

Attorney Docket No. A0848.70010US00

xnddx